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CENTER FOR CONNECTED HEALTH POLICY (CCHP)
is a non-profit, non-partisan organization that seeks to advance state and national telehealth policy to promote improvements in health systems and greater health equity.
Disclaimers & Friendly Reminders

• Any information provided in today’s webinar is not to be regarded as legal advice. Today’s talk is purely for informational and educational purposes.
• Always consult with your organization’s legal counsel.
• CCHP has no relevant financial interest, arrangement, or affiliation with any organizations related to commercial products or services discussed in this program.
• Today’s webinar will be recorded and slides presented here will be made publicly available as resources at cchpca.org.
• Please refrain from political statements or advertising commercial products or services during this webinar.
About CCHP

- Established in 2009 by the California Health Care Foundation
- Program under the Public Health Institute
- Became federally designated national telehealth policy resource center in 2012 through a grant from HRSA
- Work with a variety of funders and partners
**Telehealth & Medicaid: A Policy Webinar Series**

- **January 22, 2021:** Provider Education & Engagement
- **January 29, 2021:** Patient Education & Engagement
- **February 5, 2021:** What’s Next? A Roadmap for Medicaid Telehealth Policy Beyond the Pandemic

Follow the discussion!
@CCHP
#MedicaidTelehealthCCHP
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Session Objectives

• Provide a brief overview of Medicaid services
• Discuss how telehealth is incorporated into the Medicaid program
• Learn the reimbursement flexibility for Medicaid services delivered via telehealth
Medicaid in Brief

• States determine their own unique programs within broad federal guidelines
• Each state develops and operates a State plan outlining the nature and scope of services; the State Plan and any amendments must be approved by CMS
• Medicaid mandates some services, states elect to provide additional optional services
• States choose eligibility groups, optional services, payment levels, providers
Medicaid Benefits

• **MANDATORY**
  - Inpatient hospital services
  - Outpatient hospital services
  - EPSDT: Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment services
  - Nursing Facility services
  - Home Health services
  - Physician services
  - Rural Health Clinic services
  - Federally Qualified Health Center services
  - Laboratory and X-ray services
  - Family Planning services
  - Nurse Midwife services
  - Certified Pediatric and Family Nurse Practitioner services
  - Freestanding Birth Center services (when licensed or otherwise recognized by the state)
  - Transportation to medical care
  - Tobacco Cessation counseling for pregnant women

• **OPTIONAL**
  - Prescription Drugs
  - Clinic services
  - Therapies – PT/OT/Speech/Audiology
  - Respiratory care services
  - Rehabilitative Services
  - Podiatry services
  - Optometry services
  - Dental Services & Dentures
  - Prosthetics
  - Eyeglasses
  - Other Licensed Practitioner services
  - Private Duty Nursing services
  - Personal Care Services
  - Hospice
  - Case Management & Targeted Case Management
  - TB related services
  - State Plan HCBS - 1915(i)
  - Community First Choice Option - 1915(k)
  - Inpatient Psychiatric Services for Individuals under age 21 (required per EPSDT)
Telehealth

• Telehealth, in short, is described as using technology to deliver services.
• Services are covered in Medicaid and can be delivered using telehealth.
• Examples of technologies are asynchronous store and forward, two-way real time audio/visual communication, telephone, etc.
• Medicaid coverage of services delivered via telehealth not dependent on Medicare rules governing telehealth.
States have flexibility when covering telehealth:

- What services to cover,
- What practitioners to cover,
- What types of technology to use,
- Where in the state it will be covered, and
- How will the services be reimbursed.

Services must be provided within practitioners’ scope of practice.
Telehealth and State Plan Amendments

• The state plan is a document that describes to the Federal government how a state operates its’ Medicaid program.

• States are not required to submit a (separate) SPA for coverage or reimbursement of telehealth services, if they decide to reimburse for services the same way/amount that they pay for face-to-face services/visits/consultations.

• States must submit a (separate) reimbursement (attachment 4.19-B) SPA if they want to provide reimbursement for telehealth services differently than is currently being reimbursed for face-to-face services
Telehealth Toolkit

• Provides states with statutory and regulatory infrastructure issues to consider as they evaluate the need to expand their telehealth capabilities and coverage policies. This guide provides a description of these areas and the challenges they present including:
  – Patient populations eligible for telehealth
  – Coverage and reimbursement policies
  – Providers and practitioners eligible to furnish telehealth
  – Technology requirements
  – Pediatric considerations
Examples of Payments for Telehealth

- Distant or originating site fees
- Ancillary costs for the delivery of telehealth services (such as, technical support, necessary equipment)
- CANNOT pay for infrastructure
Telehealth Resources

- Telemedicine in Medicaid
  https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/benefits/telemedicine/index.html

- Telehealth Toolkit for States
  - Medicaid & CHIP Telehealth Toolkit Checklist for states
  - State Plan fee-for-service telehealth payments
Questions/Comments?
Medi-Cal’s Telehealth Policy: *Pre-COVID-19, Temporary COVID-19 Flexibilities, and Post-COVID-19*

*Erica Bonnifield*
Assistant Deputy Director
Health Care Benefits & Eligibility
Department of Health Care Services
Medi-Cal’s Telehealth Policy: *Pre-COVID-19, Temporary COVID-19 Flexibilities, and Post-COVID-19*

DHCS Website:
https://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/Pages/Telehealth.aspx

Medi-Cal Provider Manual:

All Plan Letter 19-009:
https://www.dhcs.ca.gov/formsandpubs/Pages/AllPlanLetters.aspx

COVID-19 Flexibilities:

Erica Bonnifield, Assistant Deputy Director
Health Care Benefits & Eligibility, Department of Health Care Services
January 2021
Shannon Dowler, MD
CMO NC Medicaid

NC Medicaid & Telehealth
Moving Mountains, Great Vistas
WHEN YOU HAVE SEEN ONE MEDICAID STATE, YOU HAVE SEEN...ONE MEDICAID STATE!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>California</th>
<th>North Carolina</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid Expansion</td>
<td>Medicaid Expansion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managed Care</td>
<td>Managed Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size: 7.75 million</td>
<td>Size: 2.1 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>California</th>
<th>North Carolina</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid Eligibility level for non-pregnant adults</td>
<td>138% FPL</td>
<td>42% for parents only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Medicaid Spending</td>
<td>$88B</td>
<td>$14B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base FMAP</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrollment (2019)</td>
<td>7.75M</td>
<td>2.1M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1115 Waiver</td>
<td>DSRIP, public hospital incentives program</td>
<td>Healthy Opportunities,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spending per full benefit enrollee</td>
<td>$5,318</td>
<td>$5,573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State coverage of undocumented children</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare-Medicaid Physician Fee Ratio (2016) All Services</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCO Enrollment %</td>
<td>81% of beneficiaries</td>
<td>N/A (LME-MCOs not comprehensive coverage)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Department analyzed 367 flexibilities across multiple functional areas. LME-MCO team further updated their recommendation on 16 flexibilities. The summary tables below provide insight into the current round 1 Recommendation status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circuit Breaker Recommendations</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommended Keep</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend keep with changes</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider Keep</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend to not keep</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status of Circuit Breaker Recommendations</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Final Recommendation Complete</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workstream Recommendation Revised</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workstream Recommendation Complete</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workstream Recommendations</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended Keep</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend keep with changes</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider Keep</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend to not keep</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance and Rate Setting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended Keep</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend keep with changes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend to not keep</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LME-MCO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended Keep</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend keep with changes</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider Keep</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend to not keep</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend to not keep</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended Keep</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend to not keep</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider Operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend to not keep</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Command Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend to not keep</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended Keep</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended to not keep</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Department analyzed 367 flexibilities across multiple functional areas. LME-MCO team further updated their recommendation on 16 flexibilities. The summary tables below provide insight into the current round 1 Recommendation status.
All the while we were tracking the data
Telehealth, Telephonic, and In-person Claims Volume | 12/31/18 – 12/20/2020

- Dramatic decrease in in-person visits at the outset of the Public Health Emergency
- Steep increases in telemedicine during the same period
- All visit types decrease with claims adjudication
Ratio of Telehealth and Telephonic Claims to General Claims | 12/31/18 – 12/20/2020

Ratios jump after DHB’s March 10th implementation telehealth/telephonic policy changes.
Compared to other types of care telemedicine made up a much larger proportion of behavioral health visits.
Telehealth, Telephonic, and In-person Behavioral Health Encounters Volume 03/09/2020 – 12/13/2020
Teleservice Utilization Odds by Geography, Race and Disease Type

The COVID-19 diagnostic population may seek in-person care more readily.

The odds of teleservice utilization among:

- Beneficiaries living in urban geographies is 1.2x greater than utilization odds among beneficiaries living in rural geographies
- White beneficiaries is 1.2x greater than utilization odds among black beneficiaries
- Non-Hispanic beneficiaries is 1.4x greater than utilization odds among Hispanic beneficiaries
- Beneficiaries with a chronic disease is almost 3x greater than utilization odds among beneficiaries without a chronic disease

![Odds of Teleservice Utilization Among Groups](image)
Claims per Beneficiary by Race | 12/30/19 – 10/18/20

• The chart on the left compares claims per 1000 beneficiaries by race. The White and American Indian subgroups have a disproportionately high volume of claims relative to their share of the NC Medicaid population.

• The chart on the right shows this same metric broken out for telehealth, telephonic, and in-person modalities.
• Counties’ rates of primary care and OB services that were telehealth:
  • decrease as the percent of counties’ populations living in rural areas increases
  • increase as the percent of counties’ populations with broadband access increases
• These relationships do not hold for behavioral health telehealth services

Rurality and Broadband data pulled from the Federal Communication Commission’s Mapping Broadband Health in America project - https://www.fcc.gov/health/maps/developers
Providers engaged in teleservices were slower to bill

Claims submission speed for providers submitting teleservice claims during the first three months of the COVID-19 period was slower than the speed at which those same providers submitted claims 180 days prior.
Beneficiary Survey Findings

• Of respondents whose most recent visit was virtual individual therapy (n=145) 59% said that they would like to continue virtual therapy if given the option to return in person.¹
  • Black or African American respondents were less likely to want to continue virtual individual therapy (44%, 24 of 54, p<.00001) compared to White respondents (73%, 48 of 66).¹

• 84% of respondents (n=186) reported no technical difficulties at their last virtual appointment.¹

• When comparing self reported outcomes from February 2020 (before transition to telehealth) to April 2020 (transition to primary telehealth model), self reported outcomes remain similar.²

1. Intercept survey implemented by Carolina Outreach, a statewide behavioral health provider
2. Patient-reported outcomes survey implemented by Access Family Services, statewide behavioral health agency
Using Teleservices to Close Care Gap

Primary care practices that adopted telemedicine at higher rates saw a much larger proportion of their patients during the first five months of the Public Health Emergency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Uptake (number of teleservice claims during the pandemic so far)</th>
<th>No. of Practices</th>
<th>No. of Patients Receiving Primary Care During Pandemic</th>
<th>Est. % of Panel Accessing Practice During Pandemic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIGH (300+)</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>339,476</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MED (50-299)</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>320,402</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOW (1-49)</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>192,349</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NONE</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>56,666</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,747</strong></td>
<td><strong>908,893</strong></td>
<td><strong>58%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A Second Visit Was Less Likely After Teleservices

Cumulative Percent of Patients Returning to Practice for Subsequent Care After Initial Primary Care Visit

- In-person
- Telemedicine
Total Cost of Care in Two Weeks Following Primary Care Visit

Costs were about 25% higher for ABD when initial visit was telehealth.
% Using Hospital Within Two Weeks of Primary Care Visit

Admissions were lowest for both ABD and non-ABD populations when initial visit was telehealth.
Medication use from June-August was higher for beneficiaries that received some services during March - May

Any Antipsychotic fills June - Aug among those with pre-use

- No Use: 42.70%
- Telehealth use: 52.90%
- In-person only: 50.30%

Any MOUD fills June - Aug among those with pre-use

- No Use: 46.00%
- Telehealth use: 64.80%
- In-person only: 63.40%
Results were sustained in propensity-weighted models

• In doubly-robust IPTW models (first stage=3 categories of use during Mar-May), we find:
  − No difference among service use during March-May on very poor outcomes (death, overdose, use of crisis services)
  − Higher rates of antipsychotic adherence for those who were on antipsychotics prior to the PHE:
    • Telehealth only beneficiaries had 9.1% point higher probability of an antipsychotic fill, compared to beneficiaries that did not receive services
    • In-person only beneficiaries had a 6.1% point higher probability of an antipsychotic fill, compared to beneficiaries that did not receive services
  − Higher rates of MOUD for those who were on MOUD prior to March:
    • Telehealth only beneficiaries had 16.0% point higher probability of an MOUD fill, compared to beneficiaries that did not receive services
    • In-person BH users had a 20.8% point higher probability of an MOUD fill, compared to beneficiaries that did not receive services

Results were sustained in propensity-weighted models
Further Analyses

1. Working with North Carolina’s Health Information Exchange and State Lab data to observe teleservice health outcomes

2. Fielding a Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems (CAHPS) survey with a sampling approach that will allow responses to be stratified by teleservice utilization by the following demographic categories:
   a) Child | Adult
   b) Race (Black | White | General)
   c) Ethnicity (Latinx | Not Latinx | General)

3. Examining the impact of primary care providers’ telehealth uptake on COVID-19 rates within their patient panel coupled with an examination of the degree to which receiving care via telehealth is a factor in beneficiaries contracting COVID-19

4. Partnering with the Sheps Center for Health Services Research on a metanalysis of teleservice findings in provider surveys implemented during the first several months of the COVID-19 period, as well as a follow-up survey of providers’ experience with teleservices
The Digital Divide
Panel Q&A

Please submit questions using the Q&A function.
Webinar Recordings and Resources

Subscribe to CCHP’s email listserv or stay tuned to CCHP’s resources page for recordings of this webinar and presentation slide decks!

www.cchpca.org/resources/search-telehealth-resources
Join us January 22, 2021 for Provider Engagement & Education!

Presentation #1: Telehealth in NV Medicaid
DuAne Young, MS, Deputy Administrator, Medical Programs and Community Based Services, Nevada Division of Health Care Financing and Policy (Nevada Medicaid)

Presentation #2: “Zooming” Ahead: Meeting Providers Where They Are
Shannon Dowler, MD, Chief Medical Officer, North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services

Presentation #3: Telehealth & Ohio Medicaid
Nicole Small, MBA, Health Systems Administrator, Policy Management & Development Ohio Medicaid

TO REGISTER, CLICK HERE OR SCAN THE QR CODE.
Thank You!

Stay in touch!

Follow us on Twitter @CCHPCA

Email us: info@cchpca.org

Visit our website: www.cchpca.org